



Beyond the Standard Model with high power lasers and XFELs

Gianluca Gregori (University of Oxford)

K Beyer, G Marocco, S Sarkar (U Oxford) B Bingham (RAL)

Imperial College 13 November 2019



Our understanding of the Universe is limited to only the luminous matter





The existence of dark matter is inferred from:

- Rotation curves of galaxies (but evidence is debatable as distance galaxies are dim background light from Milky Way needs to be subtracted)
- Micro-lensing
- Dark energy is believed to explain the acceleration of the Universe
- So far there is no direct experimental evidence of neither dark matter or dark energy
- Experimental laboratory searches are important



Many possibilities for dark matter candidates





Conrad & Reimer, Nature Phys. 2017

- Many possibilities spanning an enormous range of energies/masses
- Some theories are more developed than others
- Searches with particle accelerations have mostly concentrated on the higher mass regions (WIMPs) but no positive detection has been made
- Astrophysical observations provide some indirect bounds





- Axions are pseudo-scalar particles postulated to exist to explain the absence of CP violation by the strong interaction $\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \dots + \theta_{QCD} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{\tilde{G}}$
- Experimental limit on neutron electric dipole moment implies $\theta_{QCD} \ll 10^{-10}$
- Promote θ_{QCD} to dynamical variable which can relax to zero (Peccei & Quinn 1977)
- Axion is Nambu-Goldstone boson of the high energy breaking of $\mathrm{U(1)}_{\mathrm{PQ}}$ symmetry
- String theory compactification leads to (pseudo)scalar particles that do not necessarily couple to the QCD fields. These are axion-like particles (ALPs) are less prescribed by theory



Light axions are predicted by lattice QCD calculations



- Axions can naturally be the dark matter (for $f_a \sim 10^{10-12}~{
 m GeV}$)
- Lattice calculations pin down $m_a(f_a)$ for QCD axions to be the major constituent of dark matter



• Assuming dark matter is made of axions, the axion field is: $a(R)=(2
ho_{
m DM}^0)^{1/2}/R^{3/2}m_a$ $ho_{
m DM}^0=9.6 imes10^{-12}~{
m eV}^4$



Ultra-light axions could explain the large-scale structure of the Universe





- Structure formation simulations with and without axion-like dark matter show difference in the visible matter distribution around spheroidal dwarf galaxies
- Simulations with axion-like dark matter agree with observational data if the axion mass is ~10⁻²² eV (i.e., with a Compton wavelength of the same order as the size of the galaxy)
- Similar masses are also inferred from the solution to Friedmann's equation in presence of a pseudo-scalar field (*Gregori et al. ApJ 2019*)





 QCD axions and pions share the same quantum numbers. Mixing with the pion gives it a small mass

$$m_a=m_\pi(f_\pi/f_a)$$
 .

- Hence, axions couple to QED via a loop-induced two-photons diagram
- In presence of an external field, this is an effective mass mixing between axions and photons



$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{a\gamma\gamma} &= rac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}}{4} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{ ilde{F}} a = -g_{a\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} a \ g_{a\gamma\gamma} &pprox 2 imes 10^{-22} (m_a/\mathrm{meV})^{-1} \,\,\mathrm{eV^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$



Experimental and astrophysical constraints on axion/ALPs





- Astrophysical bounds are based on stellar evolutionary models
- Helioscopes (e.g., CAST) constraints rely on solar models
- Haloscopes (e.g., ADMX) assumes axions/ALPs constitutes a large fraction of dark matter
- Laser bounds (e.g., PVLAS) are far from QCD predictions



Experimental and astrophysical constraints on axion/ALPs





- → Axions with energies ~10 keV can be produced in stars (Primakoff effect)
- Axions provides an extra energy loss mechanism: this leads to faster cooling
- Plasma screening effects must be included and this complicates the estimates of the axion flux
- The lifetime of horizontal branch stars sets:

 $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < 10^{-10} \,\, {
m GeV^{-1}}$





CAST telescope at CERN provides state-of-art axion constraints





- CERN Axion Solar Telescope (CAST) looks at the solar axions by converting them into X-ray photons with a magnetic field
- Provides one of the best bounds at higher axion masses
- ➔ Assumptions needed to estimate axion production in the Sun



Detection techniques use regeneration of axions into photons



• The probability of regeneration is maximized when L~4 ω (m_a)⁻², which sets the length of the magnet with the axion mass



Optical photons have energies that naturally match those of the axions



- Progress enabled by CPA technique
- Normalized vector potential:

$$a_0 = \frac{eE}{mc\omega} = 0.6 \left(\frac{l}{10^{18} W/cm^2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu m}\right)$$

- $a_0 > 1$ implies relativistic motion for the electron
- Quantum non-linearity parameter:

$$\eta = \frac{2 a_0^2 \hbar \omega}{mc^2} = 0.18 \left(\frac{I}{10^{23} W/cm^2}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu m}\right)$$

• $\eta > 1$ means that pair production is important





Optical and Free Electron Lasers can be used for axion searches





- ELI laser will achieve intensities >10²³ W/cm², much higher than any current laser system
- XFEL.EU has achieved highest brightness in X-rays than any other sources
- A combination of these facilities may be envisioned for fundamental physics research



Light-through-wall experiments have provided model-free bounds





- No need to rely on model-dependent axion production mechanisms
- Light-through-wall experiments suffer from low sensitivity
 - due to conversions from axions to photons and back
- Used to look for ALPs with large coupling ($g_{a\gamma\gamma} > 10^{-4}$)



PVLAS can achieve higher sensitivity but limited by QED effects





- PVLAS (Polarizzazione del Vuoto con LASer) aims at measuring the birefringence and dichroism induced by the axion contribution to the effective photon mass
- Birefringence goes as $(g_{a\gamma\gamma})^2$ instead of $(g_{a\gamma\gamma})^4$ • However, the same effect can occur via the QED box
- However, the same effect can occur via the QED box diagram (from the Heisenberg-Euler Lagrangian)



An alternative proposal: resonant scattering with on-shell axions





- Real axions are produced by two-photon scattering
- To detect a real axion, we must reconvert it at a macroscopic distance from production region
- No QED background, but effect goes as $(g_{avv})^4$
- However, lower efficiency can be compensated by using laser substructures (patterns) within beam, enhancing scattering - analogous to Bragg scattering





- Light-through-wall experiments suffer from double conversion (low sensitivity)
- The same problem occurs with on-shell photon scattering
- •We have been working on an alternative proposal whereby axions are excited from the Unruh vacuum seen by an electron accelerated by a laser beam
- These axions can then convert into photons in an external magnetic field
- The advantage over light-through-wall experiments is that axion (re)generation happens only once





• Assume electron is accelerated by laser electric field. If the motion remains non-relativistic, the Hamiltonian can be simplified by considering non-relativistic motions, $a_0 < 1$,

$$H = \left[(\boldsymbol{p} - e\boldsymbol{A})^2 + m_e^2 \right]^{1/2} \approx \left(p^2 + \gamma^2 m_e^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

• Now, consider an electron moving into a space-time defined by a metric $g_{\mu\nu} = h^2(x) \eta_{\mu\nu}$ (variable mass metric). The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \left(p^2 + h^2 m_e^2\right)^{1/2}$$

• The dynamics of the charged particle oscillating in the laser field in flat space-time may be equivalently described by the variable mass metric Hamiltonian of a free particle Crowley *et al.*, Sci. Rep. (2012)

Gregori et al., Class. Quantum Grav. (2016)



Axion generation in the accelerated frame



 We use the quantum Vlasov equation to calculate the rate of massive pseudo-scalar, spinless particle production as seen by an accelerated electron

$$\frac{dN_k}{dt} = \frac{\dot{\omega}_k}{2\omega_k} \int_{t_0}^t du \frac{\dot{\omega}_k(u)}{2\omega_k(u)} [1 + 2N_k(u)] \cos[2\theta_k(t) - 2\theta_k(u)]$$
$$\omega_k^2 = k^2 + m^2 h^2 - \frac{\ddot{h}}{h} \qquad \theta_k(t) = \int du \,\omega_k(u)$$

- Because the electron is accelerated, it sees itself surrounded by a bath of pseudo-scalar particles
- We also assume the following Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\det g_{\mu\nu}} \left[g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_{\mu} \varphi) (\partial_{\nu} \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^2 + g_{a\gamma\gamma} \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{ext}} \varphi \right]$$



Number of axions produced by the time-varying metric is large



- In the accelerated vacuum, the observer (electron) sees itself surrounded by a thermal bath of particles
- Axions (if they exist) are produced by the same process that produces the Unruh/Hawking radiation
- By solving the quantum Vlasov equation, the number density of axions is obtained ($\xi \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$)

$$n_a \sim \xi a_0^4 \omega^4 au_L$$





- ELI: 1 kJ, 100 fs, high-repetition rate
- Unruh temperature in the 0.5 eV range (optical

Low-Z gas-jet (e.g., H, He) with density 10²¹

xford hysics "

 cm^{-3}

Axion search with high power lasers can probe the low-mass range





Axion search with XFELs allow for separation between drive and signal



- Low-Z gas-jet (e.g., H, He) with density 10²¹ cm⁻³
- FEL: 1 mJ, 100 fs focussed on <1 µm spot size, high-repetition rate
- Expect emission wavelength near 25 µm
- Lower constraints on axion coupling, but easy to separate axion signal (in the infra-red) from the x-ray drive

$$T_U = 0.05 \text{ eV}$$

$$axions$$

$$B \text{ field}$$

$$XFEL$$

$$Gas \text{ jet}$$

$$egin{split} N_{\gamma} &\sim n_a g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 rac{B^2}{m_a^2} N_eig(au_L w_L^2ig) \ N_{\gamma} &\sim 2 \Big(rac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}}{10^{-7}~{
m GeV}^{-1}}\Big)^2 ig(rac{m_a}{
m meV}ig)^{-2} ig(rac{N_e}{10^{11}}ig) ig(rac{B}{50~{
m kG}}ig)^2 ig(rac{w_{FEL}}{1\,\mu{
m m}}ig)^2 ig(rac{ au_{FEL}}{100~{
m fs}}ig)^2 ig(rac{I_{FEL}}{10^{17}~{
m W/cm}^2}ig)^2 \end{split}$$



Proposed axion searches using ELI or XFEL can complement current ones









Thank you for your attention!







36

Science & Technology Facilities Council